D Page 1 of 1

United States District Court

## Southern District of Texas **ENTERED**

March 05, 2025
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ROSEMON CALVIN RICK PILOT,	§
Plaintiff,	§ 8
V.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-MC-00017
21st MORTGAGE CORPORATION,	§ § 8
Defendant.	§ §

## ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 2). The M&R recommends that the Court deny Plaintiff's application to proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. *Id.* at 1. No objections to the M&R have been filed.

When a party objects to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge, the district judge "shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). As to any portion for which no objection is filed, a district court reviews for clearly erroneous factual findings and conclusions of law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam). Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the M&R, the record, and the applicable law, the Court **ADOPTS** the findings and conclusions of the M&R, (D.E. 2). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion to proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. (D.E. 1).

SO ORDERED.

DAVID S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Signed: Corpus Christi, Texas March 54, 2025